SUPREME COURT MINUTES MONDAY, FEBRUARY 8, 1999 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S061240 James T. Lewis, Petitioner

v.

San Bernardino County Superior Court, Respondent Chester Green et al., Real Parties in Interest The judgment of the Court of Appeal is affirmed.

George, C.J.

We Concur:

Mosk, J.

Baxter, J.

Werdegar, J.

Chin, J.

Concurring Opinion by Baxter, J.

Dissenting Opinion by Kennard, J.

Dissenting Opinion by Brown, J.

4th Dist. People, Respondent

G021771

Div. 3 Dung Le Nguyen, Appellant

The time for granting or denying review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to and including March 15, 1999, or the date upon which review is either granted or denied. Rule 28(a)(1),

California Rules of Court.

S026408 People, Respondent

v.

Franklin Lynch, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the appellant is granted to and including April 9, 1999, to request correction of the record on appeal. Counsel for appellant

is ordered to notify the Clerk of the Supreme Court in writing as soon as the act as to which the Court has granted an extension of time has been completed.

S030416 People, Respondent

v.

Sergio Ochoa, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including March 5, 1999.

S035367 People, Respondent

v.

Cedric Harrison, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the appellant is granted to and including April 6, 1999, to request correction of the record on appeal. Counsel for appellant is ordered to notify the Clerk of the Supreme Court in writing as soon as the act as to which the Court has granted an extension of time has been completed.

S040471 People, Respondent

v.

Milton Ray Pollock, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the appellant is granted to and including April 9, 1999, to request correction of the record on appeal. Counsel for appellant is ordered to notify the Clerk of the Supreme Court in writing as soon as the act as to which the Court has granted an extension of time has been completed.

S062258 In re Sirhan Bishara Sirhan

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of the Attorney General and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to and including February 16, 1999.

S065575 In re Steve Allen Champion

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file petitioner's reply to informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including April 9, 1999.

S068133 In re Albert Cunningham

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file petitioner's supplement to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including February 24, 1999.

S004727 People, Respondent

Crim.

V.

25701

Mauricio Rodriguez Silva, Appellant

The motion of appellant for permission to file a second supplemental opening brief is granted. Any response shall be served and filed on or before March 10, 1999.

S018634 People, Respondent

V.

Isaac Gutierrez, Jr, Appellant

The application of appellant for permission to file a supplemental brief is granted. Any response shall be served and filed on or before March 10, 1999.

S076353 Richelieu Ford, Petitioner

v.

San Bernardino County Superior Court, Respondent

People, Real Party in Interest

The above entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two.

Bar Misc. 4186 In the Matter of the Application of the Committee of Bar Examiners of the State of California for Admission of Attorneys

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED TO ORIGINAL ORDER)

S009522 People, Respondent

v.

Lester Robert Ochoa, Appellant

In the above-entitled matter the court filed its decision November 5, 1998, because of the following extraordinary and compelling circumstances:

- 1. The size of the record on appeal (totaling approximately 8,464 pages).
- 2. The need to grant appellant, for good cause, 5 extensions of time in which to submit his opening brief, relief from his failure to file his opening brief on time, and 4 extensions of time in which to submit his reply brief.
- 3. The need to grant respondent, for good cause, 12 extensions of time within which to submit their briefs.
- 4. The need to consider supplemental authorities submitted by respondent. Their final authorities were not submitted until August 14, 1998.
- 5. The number and complexity of the issues raised on appeal and the extensiveness of the parties' briefing.
- 6. Appellant's filing a petition for writ of habeas corpus (S064794) in connection with the appeal, which raised numerous claims.